

## **REMARKS**

In the Office Action, claims 2 and 8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,337,120) in view of Chow et al. (WO 94/09520) and Saito et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,686,083). Claims 3 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al. in view of Saito et al. and Ishigaki et al. (JP 2000-356267).


Applicant would like to thank Examiner Han and Examiner Yuan for the consideration given applicant's attorney at the interview of November 10, 2009. At the interview, agreement was reached with respect to the claims that they are distinguished over the prior art of record. It was further agreed that, in the absence of more relevant prior art, the captioned application should be in condition for allowance.

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present application should now be in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is in order, and such favorable action and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

However, if after reviewing the above amendments and remarks, the Examiner has any questions or comments, he is cordially invited to contact the undersigned attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC

By:   
Jonathan L. Scherer  
Reg. No. 29,851

400 Seventh Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004-2201  
(202) 638-6666  
Date: November 18, 2009  
JCH/JLS:crj